PATENT COOPERATION TREATYCOPY SUBMITTED IN IDS

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference C1-A0510Y1P	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2006/311575	International filing date (day/month/year) 09 June 2006 (09.06.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 June 2005 (10.06.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant CHUGAI SEIYAKU KABUSHIKI KAISHA			

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authorit	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ty under Rule $44\ bis.1(a)$.
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.
		ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

Date of issuance of this report 11 December 2007 (11.12.2007)

e-mail: pt08.pct@wipo.int

Masashi Honda

Authorized officer

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From th		IAL SEARCIIIN	IG AUTIIOR!	ITY		MANS.
То:						PCT PCT
					INTER	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE NATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
					Date of maili	
		gent's file referenc	ie .		FOR FURT	HER ACTION
C1-	·A05	LOY1P				See paragraph 2 below
		International filing date 09.06.2006	(day/month/year	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.06.2005		
Internat	ional Pa	tent Classification	(IPC) or both	national classification ar	nd IPC	·
Applica	ınt					
СНО	GAI	SEIYAKU	KABUSH	IKI KAISHA		
1.	This o	pinion contains in	dications relat	ing to the following item	s:	
	\boxtimes	Box No. I				
l				op.mon		
Box No. III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to povelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				··		
	\boxtimes	Box No. V	Reasoned sta		-	ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited		
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international ap	plication	
		Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internatio	nal application	
2.	FITDT	THED ACTION				
2-	If a d Intern than th	ational Preliminar his one to be the l	y Examining / IPEA and the o	Authority ("IPEA") excep	ot that this does not the Internation	on will be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other al Bureau under Rule $66.1bis(b)$ that written opinions of
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fori PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					ration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
		rther options, see	-	-	•	•
3.	For fu	rther details, see n	notes to Form F	PCT/ISA/220.		
Name a	nd maili	ng address of the	ISA/JP	Date of completion	of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimi	le No.					Telephone No.

COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2006/311575

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
		the translation of the international application into	, which is the language of a
		translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application ntion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	and necessary to the claimed
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		on paper	
		in electronic form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed	
		filed together with the international application in electronic form	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) rel. furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identified or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Add	itional comments:	

COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2006/311575

Box	No. IV Lack of unity of invention	
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:	
	paid additional fees	
	paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee	
	paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid	
	not paid additional fees	
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to additional fees.	э рау
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is	
	complied with	
	not complied with for the following reasons:	
	"The special technical feature" of the subject matter of claim 1 relates to a method for stabiliz sc(Fv) ₂ including a process of introducing a site-directed mutation. "The special technical feature" of the subject matter of claim 10 relates to sc(Fv) ₂ whose Tm value is not less than 55°C.	ing
	These inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, since there is no technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same corresponding technical features.	
4.	Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:	
	all parts	
	the parts relating to claims Nos.	_

COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311575

			le 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1. Sta	itement	<u></u>	F	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		– NO
	T (1) (TO)			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-13	_ YES
		Claims	1-13	– NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	_ YES
		Claims		_ NO
2. Cit	ations and explanations:			
do co m fil an m ea	Documents 1-5 cited in the Documents 1-3 containing two H chain N Documents 4-5 cutation into the scFv. As for the subjuing the application contibodies is improved. I dutation such as amino assily introduced a muta	he ISR. describe i V domain describe i ect matte ncerned the In order to acid resid tion such	modified antibodies comprising a single strand polypeptide as and two L chain V domains of a monoclonal antibody. a method for stabilizing scFv by introducing a site-directed are of claims 1-13, it was a well-known technical problem before that when producing various modified antibodies, stability of the o solve the problem, it was a well-known art to introduce a due substitution. Therefore, a person skilled in the art could have a samino acid residue substitution into the sc(Fv) ₂ antibody it is 1-3, referring to the descriptions in documents 4-5.	ore he ive